# MATILDA F DUNSTON ELEMENTARY 1825 Remount Road North Charleston, South Carolina 29406 PK-5 Elementary School GRADES 306 Students ENROLLMENT Patty Schaffer 843-745-7110 PRINCIPAL SUPERINTENDENT Dr. Maria Goodloe 843-937-6319 Mr. Gregg Meyers 843-720-8714 BOARD CHAIR THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA ANNUAL SCHOOL 2003 REPORT CARD ABSOLUTE RATING: AVERAGE Absolute Ratings of Elementary Schools with Students like Ours Excellent Good Average Below Average Unsatisfactory 2 5 25 37 IMPROVEMENT RATING: UNSATISFACTORY ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS: ND This school met 6 out of 13 objectives. The objectives included performance and participation of students in various groups and student attendance rate. SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE GOAL By 2010, South Carolina's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country. FOR MORE INFORMATION, VISIT WEBSITES AT: WWW.MYSCSCHOOLS.COM WWW.SCEOC.ORG

# PERFORMANCE TRENDS OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD

	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
2001	Below Average	Average	N/A
2002	Below Average	Below Average	N/A
2003 2004	Average	Unsatisfactory	No

## PALMETTO ACHIEVEMENT CHALLENGE TESTS (PACT) RESULTS

## **Definition of Critical Terms**

Advanced Very high score; very well prepared to work at next grade level; exceeded expectations

Proficient Well prepared to work at next grade level; met expectations

Basic Met standards; minimally prepared, can go to next grade level

Did not meet standards; must have an academic assistance plan; the local board policy determines progress to the next grade level

NOTE: Science and social studies are to be included in the 2005 school report card.

, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
	Teachers	Students	Parents	
Number of surveys returned	34	49	0	
Percent satisfied with learning environment	52.9%	89.8%	N/R	
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	61.8%	83.7%	N/R	
Percent satisfied with home-school relations	6.1%	87.8%	N/R	

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS

### PACT PERFORMANCE BY GROUP olo Proficient and State Objective Etrolinent 1st July of Testing olo Belom Baeic olo Proficient olo Advanced Advanced olo Tested olo Basic English/Language Arts All students 99.5 N/A 10.2 186 36.1 53.7 10.2 17.6 Gender Male 93 100.0 40.6 49.3 10.1 N/A 10.1 17.6 Female 98.9 32.1 57.7 10.3 N/A 10.3 17.6 93 Racial/Ethnic Group 100.0 10.0 50.0 40.0 N/A 40.0 17.6 White 16 African-American 99.4 37.0 54.8 N/A 8.1 17.6 164 8.1 Asian/Pacific Islander N/A 0.0 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 17.6 Hispanic 17.6 100.0 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 6 American Indian/Alaskan N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 17.6 N/A 0.0 Disability Status Not disabled 53.4 12.9 N/A 12.9 148 99.3 33.6 17.6 Disabled 38 100.0 45.2 54.8 N/A N/A N/A 17.6 Migrant Status Migrant 0.0 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 17.6 N/A Non-migrant 186 99.5 36.1 53.7 10.2 N/A 10.2 17.6 English Proficiency Limited English proficient 100.0 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 4 17.6 Non-limited English proficient 99.5 35.6 54.1 10.3 N/A 10.3 17.6 182 Socio-Economic Status Subsidized meals 99.4 37.5 51.5 11.0 N/A 11.0 17.6 174 Full-pay meals 12 100.0 20.0 0.08 N/A N/A N/A 17.6 **Mathematics** All students 186 99.5 30.1 61.6 7.5 0.7 8.2 15.5 Gender Male 98.9 30.9 60.3 7.4 1.5 8.8 93 15.5 Female 100.0 29.5 62.8 7.7 N/A 7.7 15.5 93 Racial/Ethnic Group White 100.0 20.0 30.0 40.0 10.0 50.0 15.5 16 African-American 164 99.4 29.9 64.9 5.2 N/A 5.2 15.5 Asian/Pacific Islander N/A 0.0 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 15.5 Hispanic 100.0 N/A N/A N/A N/A 15.5 N/A 6 American Indian/Alaskan N/A 0.0 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 15.5 Disability Status Not disabled 99.3 25.2 66.1 15.5 148 7.8 0.9 8.7 Disabled 100.0 48.4 45.2 N/A 15.5 38 6.5 6.5 Migrant Status N/A N/A N/A N/A 15.5 Migrant N/A 0.0 N/A Non-migrant 186 99.5 30.1 61.6 7.5 0.7 8.2 15.5 English Proficiency Limited English proficient 4 100.0 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 15.5 Non-limited English proficient 182 99.5 29.7 62.1 7.6 0.7 8.3 15.5 Socio-Economic Status 100.0

30.1

N/A

174

12

91.7

Subsidized meals

Full-pay meals

61.0

N/A

8.1

N/A

8.8

N/A

15.5

15.5

0.7

N/A

# PACT PERFORMANCE BY GRADE LEVEL

		Enroll	ie tes	reste al Be	ON	Basic ole	Profite 0/0	Advar Profit
		Ento	ign des	Restr ologi		, 010	010	0/0/
			,		n/Langua	ge Arts		
	Grade 3	68	N/A	39.7	44.1	16.2	N/A	16.2
	Grade 4	55	N/A	25.0	63.5	7.7	3.8	11.5
2	Grade 5	63	N/A	43.1	55.2	1.7	N/A	1.7
2002	Grade 6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
•	Grade 8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 3	52	100.0	27.0	54.1	18.9	N/A	18.9
	Grade 4	63	100.0	30.4	60.7	8.9	N/A	8.9
23	Grade 5	71	98.6	48.1	46.3	5.6	N/A	5.6
2003	Grade 6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

				M	athematio	s		
	Grade 3	68	N/A	50.0	36.8	13.2	N/A	13.2
	Grade 4	55	N/A	32.7	48.1	11.5	7.7	19.2
8	Grade 5	63	N/A	44.8	43.1	12.1	N/A	12.1
2002	Grade 6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 3	52	100.0	35.1	56.8	8.1	N/A	8.1
	Grade 4	63	98.4	32.7	58.2	7.3	1.8	9.1
ဗ္ဗ	Grade 5	71	100.0	24.1	68.5	7.4	N/A	7.4
2003	Grade 6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

### SCHOOL PROFILE

SCHOOL PROFILE				
(	Our School	Change from Last Year	Elementary Schools with Students Like Ours	Median Elementary School
Students (n= 306)				
First graders who attended full-day kindergarten	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Retention rate	N/A	N/A	2.5%	2.4%
Attendance rate	93.4%	Up from 93.1%	95.5%	95.9%
Meeting grade 1 and 2 readiness standards	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Eligible for gifted and talented	3.0%	Up from 2.2%	4.5%	13.2%
On academic plans	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
On academic probation	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
With disabilities other than speech	10.9%	Down from 13.1%	8.3%	8.0%
Older than usual for grade	24.5%	Up from 3.0%	3.2%	1.1%
Suspended or expelled	0.0%	No change	0.0%	0.0%
Teachers (n= 31)				
Teachers with advanced degrees	38.7%	Down from 47.2%	46.7%	50.0%
Continuing contract teachers	77.4%	Up from 55.6%	76.5%	85.3%
Highly qualified teachers	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Teachers returning from previous year	r 61.7%	Down from 68.6%	79.3%	86.2%
Teacher attendance rate	95.1%	Down from 95.4%	95.3%	95.3%
Average teacher salary	\$37,944	Up 4.3%	\$37,930	\$39,909
Prof. development days/teacher	11.1 days	Up from 10.1 days	13.3 days	11.4 days
School				
Principal's years at school	2.0	Up from 1.0	3.0	4.0
Student-teacher ratio	16.1 to 1	Up from 15.9 to 1	16.7 to 1	18.9 to 1
Prime instructional time	87.4%	Down from 87.7%	88.9%	89.7%
Dollars spent per pupil*	\$6,008	Up 21.7%	\$7,009	\$5,892
Percent spent on teacher salaries*	68.4%	Down from 71.9%	63.3%	66.6%
Opportunities in the arts	Good	No change	Good	Good
Parents attending conferences	99.0%	No change	99.0%	99.0%
SACS accreditation	no	N/A	yes	yes

<sup>\*</sup> Prior year audited financial data are reported.

	Our District	State	
Highly qualified teachers in low poverty schools	N/A	N/A	
Lighty gualified to above in high neverty cabools	N1/A	N1/A	
Highly qualified teachers in high poverty schools	N/A	N/A	

# Abbreviations for Missing Data

N/A Not Applicable N/C Not Collected N/R Not Reported I/S Insufficient Sam
--

# REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

Title I schoolwide project funding based on free/reduced lunch count provides opportunities to enrich the learning and make a positive impact on the curriculum. Title I provides reduced class-size, professional development for teachers, and instructional materials to help students meet the SC Standards for Elementary School in reading, math and science.

Teachers use the South Carolina Standards for long-range planning, instruction and assessment. The school has increased instructional time in reading and math through extended day, flexible groups in grades 3,4 and 5, and a homework center.

Science is taught through hands-on learning with FOSS kits and science notebooks. Our 5th graders have continued their relationship with Earth Stewards, and two of the teachers received a state EIA grant for character education.

Professional development is focused on changing instructional practices through teachers coaching each other in reading. The school has participated in the South Carolina Reading Initiative for the second year.

Student progress was monitored quarterly through benchmark testing. This included the District 4 K-2 Assessment, Math benchmarks, STAR testing, and report card reviews by the principal. Teachers and principal met together to plan interventions for classroom academic assistance.

This year, outside school events focused on reading and science. Some of the events were a Fall READ-Along, MAD About Science Nights, Creative Sparks Fine Arts, and mentors in our classes. Two artists in residence connected Language Arts and art. The year ended with a World View study. Students were able to travel to other classes and learn about other countries from their peers.

Community support was provided from the PTA and school business education partners. The overall impact of the year was positive. Suspensions decreased from the previous year. The homework initiative was a success. More time on task was accomplished through a team effort.

Patty Schaffer, Principal

## DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

# DEFINITION OF ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

As required by the United States Department of Education, adequate yearly progress specified that the statewide target is met for all students and for each subgroup of students: racial/ethnic, economic, disability, limited English proficiency and migrant status.